



### **Staff Report Item 13**

<b>To:</b>	Ava Community Energy Authority
<b>From:</b>	John Perkins, Legal Director
<b>Subject:</b>	Updating Ava's Procurement Policy for non-energy purchases
<b>Date:</b>	October 22, 2025

---

#### **Summary/Recommendation**

This Staff Report proposes amending the current Administrative Procurement Policy as reflected in the version included with this Staff Report in order to:

- add clarity,
- reduce administrative burden,
- match dollar thresholds to Ava's level of organizational maturity and Ava's peers in the industry,
- allow for more nimble updates,
- and reduce non-essential legal spend.

#### **Financial Impact**

Reduction in monthly outside counsel spend, approximately \$2,000 per month.

## **Analysis and Context**

The updated Administrative Procurement Policy is designed to reduce ambiguity and related administrative overhead, to respond to Ava's needs as a maturing agency, and to allow for greater agility by permitting limited updates while maintaining transparency and appropriate oversight.

1. Background	2
1.1. The Procurement Policy and Contract Operations	2
2. At A Glance: Current vs. Proposed	3
3. Detailed Discussion	5
3.1. Three-step compliance process	5
3.2. Tailored Categories	5
3.3. Updated Board Approval Threshold	6
3.4. Policy Updates	7
3.5. Approval as to Form	8
3.6. Spending Authority by Job Level	8
3.7. Compliance with Legal Authority	8
3.8. Availability of Longer Contract Terms	9

### **1. Background**

Ava's Administrative Procurement Policy was originally developed when Ava (then EBCE) was nascent, and the policy largely reflects the content of the procurement policies of pre-existing CCAs. This was an efficient and effective strategy at the time, however the policy has not grown and evolved with Ava and has become a frequent source of confusion and expense, impairing Ava's ability to make purchases without considerable administrative overhead and legal cost. Though the policy has undergone slight updates over time, it has not been tailored to Ava's needs nor has it reflected Ava's growth and maturity.

#### **1.1. The Procurement Policy and Contract Operations**

The creation of Ava's Legal team and the adoption of digital contract management tools and related processes has added consistent oversight to Ava's transactions. Most of the updates proposed in the new Procurement Policy can be dynamically captured in the contract management system, virtually eliminating compliance breaches.

## 2. At A Glance: Current vs. Proposed

	<b>Current</b>	<b>Proposed</b>	<b>In short</b>
1	Lacks express steps for how to comply with the policy.	Applies a 3-step process to ensure Staff compliance with the policy.	Eliminates the ambiguity, and associated internal investigation of complying with the policy.
2	Discusses two (2) main categories of purchases, “Non-Professional Goods and Services” and “Professional Services,” plus various purchase transactions with ambiguous criteria and requirements.	Details nine (9) categories that reflect Ava’s purchase types and clearly indicate the requirements for each.	The current policy consistently raises questions from Staff for clarification regarding what they must do to comply with the policy. The proposed categories are more clear and specific, and are matched to clear and specific steps for Staff to comply with the policy.
3	Requires contracts in excess of \$100,000 to obtain Board approval.	Contracts in excess of \$250,000 require Board approval.	The current threshold creates significant expense in terms of staff time and external legal review without providing commensurate value, and the new threshold brings us closer to the CCA industry standard (matching SJCE, SCP, SVCE, PCE, & MCE) while still being conservative for Ava’s size.
4	Requires any change to the Procurement Policy to obtain Board approval.	Changes to dollar value thresholds require Board approval, but other changes may be made at the approval of the CEO and General Counsel provided that the Board is promptly notified.	Currently any change requires Board approval (and an accompanying Resolution and Staff Report with legal review). The proposed version reduces the time and expense of non-monetary changes but keeps the Board notified of such changes.

	Current	Proposed	In short
5	Requires transactions in excess of \$5,000 to obtain approval as to form by the General Counsel.	Transactions in excess of \$35,000 require approval as to form by an authorized Ava attorney.	Ava's legal team processes all transactions (except for designated low-risk, low-dollar purchases), consistently applying legal review. \$35,000 is the threshold after which Ava leverages its contract template designed for larger transactions.
6	Establishes the following spending authority by job level:	Proposes spending authority by job level:	Summary of determination:
	Level 11 (Director): \$5,000	Level 11 (Director): \$10,000	Under the Federal Acquisition Regulations, "Micro-purchase threshold means \$10,000" (though this can be subject to legislative carve-outs) <sup>1</sup> and this threshold is leveraged by California government agencies. <sup>2</sup> The Director threshold has been matched to such micro-purchases.
	Level 12 (Senior Director) or Level 13 (Vice President): \$15,000	Level 12 (Senior Director): \$35,000	Purchases of up to \$35,000 are usually smaller, less complex transactions executed using Ava's Purchase Rider.
	Level 14 (Senior Vice President or Chief Officer): \$25,000	Level 13 (Vice President): \$100,000	Distinguishes the VP spend threshold from the Senior Director threshold; reflects inflation; maps to the threshold for RFPs.
	General Counsel: \$50,000	Level 14 (Senior Vice President or Chief Officer) or General Counsel: \$150,000	This matches the threshold set by fellow CCAs SCP and MCE.

<sup>1</sup> See 48 C.F.R. § 2.101.

<sup>2</sup> See e.g. CA ADC AIR Reg. XI, RULE 1101.

	<b>Current</b>	<b>Proposed</b>	<b>In short</b>
	CEO: \$100,000	CEO: \$250,000	Permits the CEO to approve all agreements below the threshold for Board approval.
7	Includes content and requirements of specific laws and regulations.	Removes redundant content and directs Staff to contact Ava's Legal team when transaction criteria implicate legislative or regulatory requirements.	Requirements of specific laws and regulations have been removed from the policy because they fell out of sync with evolving laws. The process of identifying such requirements has been moved to the legal review process.
8	Ties contracts to budgets such that it limits contract terms to one year.	Permits contract terms to be up to seven years, requiring that the first year be budgeted and that the remainder of the term be included in the budget for the applicable year.	Limiting the term of a contract limits Ava's financial negotiating power and creates avoidable overhead in the form of annual renewals.

### 3. Detailed Discussion

The current Administrative Procurement Policy presents challenges to Ava Staff including the following:

- Ambiguous requirements that create material administrative overhead as Staff attempts to comply with unclear direction. Staff's diligence in seeking to comply with requirements has caused and continues to cause material expenditure of effort.
- Dollar thresholds for approvals that are no longer current prompt Staff to develop Staff Reports and proposed resolutions for quotidian transactions, costing legal review dollars as well as Staff time.
- Undue burden and delay from impractical solicitation requirements for categories of transaction that either (1) do not warrant that level of administrative burden or (2) by their nature, complexity, and/or importance warrant a more customized approach.

#### 3.1. Three-step compliance process

Ava's current Administrative Procurement policy introduces concepts including (i) categories of contracts, (ii) legal and regulatory obligations, (iii) approval thresholds, (iv) exceptions to policy rules, and (v) requirements for Requests for Proposal and Requests for Quotes, but does not explain the reasoning behind these concepts nor instruct Staff how to comply with the policy. To address this, the proposed policy presents a three-step process instructing staff to (1) collect documentation, where the specific documents are set forth in the policy; (2) identify the

transaction type from a list of transaction types set forth in the policy; and (3) complete the transaction requirements for the applicable transaction type, all of which are clearly spelled out in the policy. This will facilitate Staff's compliance with the policy and reduce recurring questions on the topic.

Additionally, the current policy does not detail how to address changes to an existing contract that cause it to exceed a threshold. The proposed policy sets forth a calculation to identify when a change warrants further review and when it may proceed without additional steps.

### 3.2. Tailored Categories

The transaction types in the proposed draft were developed in coordination with Ava's functional leads and other key personnel to reflect the purchases in which Ava engages. The current categories of "Professional Services" and "Goods and Non-Professional Services" lack clear definitions that Staff can consistently apply to categorize transactions. Furthermore and more problematically, the current policy does not provide clear direction about specialized transactions, such as public works engagements.

By working with Staff to develop categories of transactions, we were able to map appropriate requirements to each category. The proposed policy establishes the following categories based on conversations with Ava's leadership:

1. Micro-purchases (meaning a purchase of physical goods and/or non-construction services for up to \$10,000" reflecting federal statute).
2. Mission-critical products and services.
3. Exigent or emergency transactions.
4. Routine administrative transactions.
5. Sole source transactions.
6. Cooperative purchasing (purchases from another government agency or leveraging a substantially similar contract already entered into by such an agency).
7. Regulated transactions (public works engagements or transactions paid for using grant funds).
8. Professional services.
9. General products and services.
10. Combination transactions, where the transaction implicates more than one of the above categories.

Each transaction type is subject to one or more of the following transaction requirements:

- A. Universal purchase requirements, applicable to all purchases.
- B. Contracting requirements, applicable to most purchases.
- C. Solicitation requirements, based on spend thresholds.
- D. Compliance requirements, for regulated transactions.
- E. Board approval, based on spend threshold.

### 3.3. Updated Board Approval Threshold

The current procurement policy requires any expenditure greater than \$100,000 to be conditioned on Board approval, and this threshold has been the same since Ava's inception. Though this threshold was warranted at Ava's creation, it now (1) is out of sync with the industry; (2) creates material overhead for Staff; (3) creates overhead for the Board, (4) causes Ava to incur avoidable legal expenses; and (5) does not reflect inflation or Ava's expansion.

Each time Ava Staff brings a proposed transaction to the Board of Directors, a Staff member must develop a Staff Report in support of the transaction, and create a Resolution for the Board to execute if the Board opts to approve the transaction. These documents are often also accompanied by learning aids such as slides. This consumes the time of the Staff member, as well as the Clerk in developing materials for the Board. Additionally, each Staff Report and Resolution must undergo legal review by outside counsel, incurring legal fees.

Since June of 2024, 59 contracts over \$100,000 have been approved by the Board. For each, approval was granted via the consent agenda. Twenty-five of those transactions were for an amount under \$250,000 and those transactions were for purchases that did not reasonably warrant Board attention, such as purchasing design services for marketing initiatives or engaging SaaS providers for IT infrastructure.

This supports the decision by Ava's peers to have higher approval thresholds. Ava's threshold is currently \$100,000, or .011% of its annual budget. Marin Clean Energy (MCE), Peninsula Clean Energy (PCE), Silicon Valley Clean Energy (SVCE), San José Clean Energy (SJCE), and Sonoma Clean Power (SCP) all have Board approval thresholds of \$250,000, and of that group MCE's threshold is the lowest percentage of budget, at 0.032%. In contrast, by increasing its threshold to \$250,000, Ava's percentage of budget would still be only 0.029%, the most conservative of its peers.

In addition to the points above, Staff identifies the following additional support for this threshold change:

1. This update makes one material change one time, rather than a series of changes, in order to (1) make up for multiple years without increases; (2) account for Ava's expanded geographic scope; and (3) minimize overhead and disruption in operations by setting one threshold that Ava can apply for a meaningful period of time rather than a series of incremental updates that would create more overhead and delay the adoption of a more industry standard threshold.
2. This update frees the Board from being obligated to approve less critical contracts, but still allows Staff to bring lower dollar value contracts to the Board at Staff's discretion.
3. Ava will continue to disclose on a monthly basis all of the agreements entered into in the previous month, and all such agreements continue to be available to the public upon request under the Public Records Act.
4. All agreements undergo appropriate management approval based on dollar value, and all large dollar value contracts require legal approval as to form.
5. All purchases over \$10,000 undergo legal review and approval.

6. Internal controls still apply, so increasing the Board threshold does not equate to diminished oversight but rather a more efficient delegation of authority within an already controlled environment. Even with a higher threshold, all expenditures are still:
  - a. Governed by Board-approved budgets.
  - b. Subject to procurement policies and competitive bidding requirements.
  - c. Reviewed by internal finance and legal teams.
  - d. Reported to the Board through regular reporting.
  - e. Subject to multiple layers of management approval.

### 3.4. Policy Updates

To date, any change to the Administrative Procurement Policy, no matter how small, has required the approval of the Board. In addition to absorbing Board time, it has required time on the part of Staff, the Clerk, and outside counsel to develop, review, and include Board documents for the update. To conserve all of these resources, the proposed policy allows for updates that can be included with the approval of both the CEO and General Counsel provided that (1) those updates do not change dollar-value thresholds and (2) the updates are promptly reported to the Board.

### 3.5. Approval as to Form

To date, any expenditure by Ava in excess of \$5,000 has required a signature by Ava's General Counsel confirming that the GC "approves as to form," or determines that the contract is sufficient and enforceable. The proposed policy increases that threshold to \$35,000 because (1) all contracts are managed by Ava's legal team and (2) \$35,000 is the threshold at which Ava applies its more rigorous contract template intended for larger purchases. This will remove the administrative step of the General Counsel signing smaller contracts but retains legal review and approval because Ava's Legal team has established a consistent contracting process.

### 3.6. Spending Authority by Job Level

Ava's spend authority levels have only been updated once, and then quite modestly, since the current procurement policy was launched.

- Director level: currently this is set at \$5,000 which is half the value of a micro-purchase as discussed above. The proposed threshold is \$10,000 which would provide signatory authority only for micro-purchases.
- Senior Director level: currently Senior Directors and VPs share the same threshold of \$15,000. The proposed threshold is \$35,000, which permits Senior Directors to provide signatory authority for what Ava has identified as small purchases which can be executed with Ava's Purchase Rider template.
- Vice President level: currently, VPs share the \$15,000 threshold with Senior Directors. The proposed threshold allows VPs to approve purchases under the threshold at which transactions require an RFP.



- Senior Vice President / Chief Officer / General Counsel level: currently, SVPs and Chief Officers have a threshold of \$25,000 and the General Counsel has a threshold of \$50,000. The proposed threshold is \$150,000 which matches the thresholds of Sonoma Clean Power (SCP) and Marin Clean Energy (MCE).
- CEO level: As detailed in Section 3.3, the proposed threshold for the CEO becomes \$250,000 so the CEO can serve as the approval authority for any agreement that would not need Board approval.

### 3.7. Compliance with Legal Authority

The current policy sets forth specific requirements of select laws and regulations, however that content has not been maintained and is not current with the state of the law today. To maintain compliance but avoid asynchronization, the proposed policy removes the specifics and instead identifies common criteria that indicate additional legal requirements and instructs the relationship manager to contact Ava's Legal team. Additionally, (1) all agreements over \$10,000 must undergo legal review, and (2) Ava's templates have legal compliance built in by default.

### 3.8. Availability of Longer Contract Terms

The Procurement Policy has functionally limited the term of agreements executed by Ava to no more than 1 year, which decreases Ava's buying power and ability to negotiate for better pricing since we refrain from committing for a longer period of time. This also creates additional overhead for Ava Staff because each contract must be renewed annually.

The proposed policy allows contracts to extend to as much as seven (7) years, and allows for purchase commitments that are shorter than the term of the contract – for instance, Ava can sign a 7-year master agreement but execute an order form for a 3-year purchase. The proposed policy requires that budget is available for the initial/current year of the agreement, and requires that the relationship manager secure budget approval for future years.

## **Attachments**

- A. Resolution
- B. Draft Administrative Procurement Policy version 1.12
- C. Presentation

**RESOLUTION NO. R-2025-XX**  
**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**  
**OF THE AVA COMMUNITY ENERGY AUTHORITY UPDATING AVA'S**  
**PROCUREMENT POLICY FOR NON-ENERGY PURCHASES**

**WHEREAS** Ava Community Energy Authority ("Ava") was formed as a community choice aggregation agency ("CCA") on December 1, 2016, under the Joint Exercise of Powers Act, California Government Code sections 6500 *et seq.*, among the County of Alameda, and the Cities of Albany, Berkeley, Dublin, Emeryville, Fremont, Hayward, Livermore, Piedmont, Oakland, San Leandro, and Union City to study, promote, develop, conduct, operate, and manage energy-related climate change programs in all of the member jurisdictions. The cities of Newark and Pleasanton, located in Alameda County, along with the City of Tracy, located in San Joaquin County, were added as members of Ava and parties to the Joint Powers Agreement ("JPA") in March of 2020. The city of Stockton was added as a member to Ava in September of 2022. The city of Lathrop was added as a member to Ava in October of 2023. San Joaquin County was added as a member to Ava in July 2024. On October 24, 2023, Ava legally adopted the name Ava Community Energy Authority, where it had previously used the name East Bay Community Energy Authority since its inception.

**WHEREAS** Ava adopted its original Administrative Procurement Policy in 2018;  
and

**WHEREAS** that original Policy has not undergone material updates since its inception; and

**WHEREAS** the existing policy creates material inefficiencies that can be corrected with an updated policy;

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF AVA COMMUNITY ENERGY AUTHORITY DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:**

Section 1. The Board resolves to amend and supersede the current Administrative Procurement Policy with Version 1.12 of the Policy in order to add clarity, reduce administrative burden, match dollar thresholds to Ava's level of organizational maturity and Ava's peers in the industry, allow for more nimble updates, and reduce non-essential legal spend.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of October, 2025.

---

Betsy Andersen, Chair

ATTEST:

---

Adrian Bankhead, Clerk of the Board



# Administrative Procurement Policy

Policy Number 1.12 (DRAFT)

October 22, 2025

## I. Background and Purpose

## II. Policy Compliance Steps for Ava Staff: 3 Steps

### Required Documentation

### Transaction Types

#### Power procurement

##### Power procurement transaction requirements

#### Combination

##### Combination transaction requirements

#### Micro-purchase

##### Micro-purchases transaction requirements

#### Mission-critical products and services

##### Mission-critical products and services transaction requirements

#### Exigent or emergency

##### Exigent or emergency transaction requirements

#### Routine administrative

##### Routine administrative transaction requirements

#### Sole source

##### Sole source transaction requirements

#### Cooperative purchasing

##### Cooperative purchasing transaction requirements

#### Regulated

##### Regulated transaction requirements

#### Professional services

##### Professional services transaction requirements

#### General products and services

##### General products and services transaction requirements

### Transaction Requirements

#### Universal requirements

##### Multiple Transactions with a Counterparty

#### Contracting requirements

#### Solicitation requirements

##### Solicitation exception

#### Compliance requirements

#### Board Approval



### [Board Approval: Amendments, Recurrences, and Multiple Transactions](#)

### [III. Formal RFP/RFQ Procedures](#)

### [IV. Contracting Operations](#)

#### [Signing Authority for Expenditures](#)

##### [Signing Authority for incremental additional purchases or amendments](#)

##### [Signing Authority for non-purchase documents](#)

##### [Signing Authority delegation](#)

### [V. Implementation of Policy Updates](#)

### [VI. Definitions and Topics](#)

## I. Background and Purpose

It is in the interest of Ava Community Energy (“Ava”) to establish procurement practices for high-quality materials, finished goods, and services that balance the following:

- facilitating efficient business operations;
- providing fair compensation and local workforce opportunities; and
- maximizing value for cost

whenever possible within a framework of competitive offerings.

## II. Policy Compliance Steps for Ava Staff: 3 Steps

Staff shall follow the steps below to comply with this policy:

1. Collect [required documentation](#) (page 2).
2. Match the transaction to its [transaction type\(s\)](#) (page 3).
3. Complete the [transaction requirements](#) for the transaction type (page 9).

**NOTE:** Any manager may apply additional requirements at their discretion provided those requirements are communicated to the Relationship Manager and the Legal team.

The 3 steps in this section represent the full scope of responsibility for Staff serving as Relationship Managers coordinating transactions. Other requirements, such as assigning a signatory and collecting approvals, are the responsibility of the Legal team.

## Required Documentation

Staff shall collect the following information prior to entering into a procurement transaction:

- Taxpayer identity documentation.
  - W-9 for a US-based vendor.
  - W-8BEN for an international vendor.



- The full name and email address of the principal vendor contact.
- The full address of the vendor's place of business (either headquarters or the regional office most relevant to the transaction).

## Transaction Types

Review each transaction type below to determine which types apply to your purchase.

Ava categorizes transactions into the types below in order to apply an appropriate balance of safeguards and latitude to each purchase type. Ava recognizes the following types of transactions:

1. [Power procurement](#)
2. [Combination](#)
3. [Micro-purchase](#)
4. [Mission-critical products and services](#)
5. [Exigent or emergency](#)
6. [Routine administrative](#)
7. [Sole source](#)
8. [Cooperative Purchasing](#)
9. [Regulated](#)
10. [Professional services](#)
11. [General products and services](#)

### Power procurement

Power procurement transactions are those that transact for power resources, such as energy, renewable energy credits (RECs), or resource availability (RA). Power procurement transactions are not governed by this policy.

#### Power procurement transaction requirements

Power procurement transactions may only be executed under the management of Ava's Power Resources team and in accordance with Ava's [Energy Risk Management Policy](#).

### Combination

A combination transaction is a transaction that spans more than one of the categories below.

#### Combination transaction requirements

For a purchase that meets the criteria for more than one type of transaction, the Relationship Manager must meet the requirements for all transaction types.



For instance, a transaction for a [micro-purchase](#) that uses federal funds (making it a [regulated transaction](#)) must meet both [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#) and [Compliance requirements \(page 11\)](#).

## Micro-purchase

A transaction qualifies as a micro-purchase if it meets all of the following criteria:

Price:	The total price (or not-to-exceed price) does not exceed \$10,000.
Subject matter:	The purchase is exclusively for one or both of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Physical goods.</li> <li>• Services that <i>exclude</i> construction or installation services, or related services such as mechanical designs or building plans.</li> </ul>
Governance:	The transaction does <u>not</u> involve any of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A written agreement.</li> <li>• A purchase document (e.g. order form) <i>containing legal terms</i>.</li> <li>• A purchase document with a link or other reference <i>to legal terms</i>.</li> </ul>
Non-recurring:	The transaction may not commit Ava to a series of purchases, though Ava may reserve the right to make subsequent purchases in accordance with this policy.

## Micro-purchases transaction requirements

Staff shall complete the following:

- [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#)

**NOTE:** Staff shall submit the related purchase document to Ava's Legal team to route for signature. Ava's Legal team shall maintain a process for all documents that require signature.

## Mission-critical products and services

Transactions for products and services that are, for all practical purposes, essential to Ava's day-to-day operations qualify as purchases of mission-critical products and services.

Ava categorizes these transactions separately because they regularly raise considerations that require individualized attention and do not fit effectively into a one-size-fits-all strategy for purchasing. Mission-critical products and services can include essential technology infrastructure, commercial real estate procurement, billing/payment services, and customer service.

Ava evaluates whether a transaction qualifies as mission-critical on a case-by-case basis. To confirm whether a transaction is mission critical, Staff shall seek approval from either:



- The CEO; or
- Both the Functional Lead of your department and either the Legal Director or the General Counsel.

Considerations for whether a transaction is mission critical include the following:

- The extent to which Ava's essential operations are dependent upon the product or service.
- The difficulty level of finding and transitioning to an alternative supplier that meets Ava's requirements.
- The degree of financial and/or reputational harm Ava would face in the event of an outage or other material instance of unavailability.

To request that a product or service be considered mission critical, take the following steps:

1. Draft a request to management (email preferred) to label the product/service as mission critical, setting forth in detail:
  - a. Why the product/service is essential to core operations, compliance, or safety;
  - b. Why the product/service has no practicable substitutes or work-arounds; and
  - c. What severe financial, reputational, or legal harm Ava would face from the failure/unavailability of the product/service.
2. Review the proposal with your Functional Lead.
3. Deliver the proposal to the CEO and/or General Counsel/Legal Director for approval.

#### Mission-critical products and services transaction requirements

Staff shall complete the following:

- [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#)
- [Contracting requirements \(page 10\)](#)
- [Board Approval \(over \\$250,000\) \(page 12\)](#)

#### Exigent or emergency

Exigent transactions are those (1) that a reasonable person would find must be executed without delay, and (2) where no intentional action or inaction by Ava Staff materially contributed to the urgency, for instance:

- Hiring a plumber to fix a leak.
- Abating a pest infestation or addressing a health hazard.
- Remediating compromised access to a facility.
- Finding a replacement for a vendor that became unavailable due to a reasonably unforeseeable circumstance.

Any Ava personnel of Job Level 11 (such as Director) or higher, or the General Counsel, may designate a transaction as an exigent transaction.

Emergency transactions are those that are directly related to or in response to extraordinary circumstances, such as:





- An unexpected occurrence requiring immediate action to prevent or mitigate the loss or impairment of life, health, property, or essential public services, including the provision of energy or power to Ava customers.
- An immediate need to prepare for national or local defense.
- A breakdown in infrastructure or an essential service which requires the immediate purchase of supplies or contractual services to protect public health, safety, or property.
- The declaration of an emergency or disaster by a valid public authority.

Only Ava's CEO or General Counsel may designate a transaction as an emergency transaction.

#### Exigent or emergency transaction requirements

Staff shall complete the following:

- [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#)
- [Contracting requirements \(page 10\)](#)

#### Routine administrative

Routine administrative transactions must meet all of the following criteria:

Price (or not-to-exceed price):	Not in excess of \$35,000.
Subject matter:	<p>Routine administrative transactions are limited to the following areas of goods and services:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Food services, including restaurants and catering.</li> <li>2. Team-building and employee-appreciation events.</li> <li>3. Travel, including flights and hotel accommodations.</li> <li>4. Office supplies, representing consumable items regularly used in an office environment.</li> </ol>

Transactions for restaurants and other food-service providers, such as caterers, as well as hospitality providers such as hotels are highly regulated in the United States and most other jurisdictions. Additionally, spend on such services tends to be intermittent and of a low dollar spend compared to other materials and services. Team building services tend to be unique or limited in terms of options, and of a low spend compared to other services. For these reasons, this policy grants Ava Staff broad leeway in transactions of this type.

#### Routine administrative transaction requirements

Staff shall complete the following:



- [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#)
- [Contracting requirements \(page 10\)](#)

## Sole source

A sole-source transaction is one where the goods or services are only available from one source either because the brand or trade name article, goods, or product or proprietary service is the only one which will properly meet the needs of Ava or the item or service is unique and available only from a sole source. It is authorized when the goods or services contemplated are only able to be performed by a sole provider, such as the holder of an exclusive patent or franchise, for the purchase of unique or innovative goods or services including but not limited to computer software and technology, or for purchases of goods or services when there is a demonstrated need for compatibility with an existing item or service used by Ava.

Only the CEO, CCO, General Counsel, or functional lead of the relevant department may designate a purchase as sole-source.

### Sole source transaction requirements

Staff shall complete the following:

- [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#)
- [Contracting requirements \(page 10\)](#)
- [Board Approval \(over \\$250,000\) \(page 12\)](#)

## Cooperative purchasing

Cooperative purchasing transactions as those where either (1) Ava is purchasing from another government agency; or (2) Ava is adopting an agreement all or largely identical to one negotiated by another government agency where that agreement has undergone that agency's procurement process and such process is not in conflict with California law.

### Cooperative purchasing transaction requirements

Staff shall complete the following:

- [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#)
- [Contracting requirements \(page 10\)](#)
- [Board Approval \(over \\$250,000\) \(page 12\)](#)

## Regulated

Regulated transactions are those that are subject to additional requirements due to applicable law, regulation, or other valid governing authority. A transaction is regulated for the purposes of this policy if it meets either of the following criteria:

- The transaction is for installation, construction, or construction-related services for an amount in excess of \$1,000.



- Ava will pay for the transaction in whole or in part with any amount of federal funds (e.g. funds received from a federal grant).

#### Regulated transaction requirements

Staff shall complete the following:

- [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#)
- [Contracting requirements \(page 10\)](#)
- [Solicitation requirements \(page 10\)](#)
- [Compliance requirements \(page 11\)](#)
- [Board Approval \(over \\$250,000\) \(page 12\)](#)

#### Professional services

Professional services are those that require a government license or certification, including but not limited to the following:

- legal services,
- licensed contractor services (for a dollar value less than or equal to \$1,000 after which threshold the transaction is [Regulated](#), as set forth above),
- audit services, and
- engineering services.

Providers of these services possess a high degree of specialized skill or expertise, not always adaptable to competitive bidding.

#### Professional services transaction requirements

Staff shall complete the following:

- [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#)
- [Contracting requirements \(page 10\)](#)
- [Board Approval \(over \\$250,000\) \(page 12\)](#)

#### General products and services

A transaction that does not meet the criteria, in whole or in part, of any of the categories above is a transaction for general products and services, for instance the procurement of cleaning services or the purchase of office furniture. The majority of Ava's purchase transactions qualify under this category.

#### General products and services transaction requirements

Staff shall complete the following:

- [Universal requirements \(page 9\)](#)
- [Contracting requirements \(page 10\)](#)
- [Solicitation requirements \(page 10\)](#)



- [Board Approval \(over \\$250,000\)](#) (

## Transaction Requirements

The requirements below apply based on the transaction type, as detailed above.

### Universal requirements

Staff shall:

- Confirm whether Ava will use federal funds to pay for the purchase in whole or in part. (**NOTE:** if such funds will be used, the transaction qualifies as [Regulated](#).)
- Undertake reasonable due diligence to procure satisfactory goods or services at an appropriate price under the circumstances.
- Confirm that the purchase represents an appropriately complete scope (see [Multiple Transactions with a Counterparty](#), below) and open a ticket with Ava's Legal team if you have any questions:
  - Confirm that no transaction has been divided into multiple purchases to avoid approval thresholds.
  - Confirm that no transaction has been segmented to avoid regulatory requirements.
  - Confirm whether the transaction would increase the total spend with the vendor for the current fiscal year over the board-approval threshold.
- Confirm compliance with Ava's [Conflicts of Interest Code](#).
- Confirm that Ava has budgeted for the first fiscal year (or remainder of the fiscal year, if applicable) of the transaction.
- Confirm that the term of the transaction does not exceed 7 years or that any extension of an existing engagement does not extend the term beyond 7 years.
- **Open a ticket with Legal to process the transaction and include the [Required Documentation](#) plus any related documentation if applicable.**

### Multiple Transactions with a Counterparty

When analyzing whether multiple transactions with the same counterparty should be collectively subject to a procurement threshold or evaluated individually, Staff must consider the substance and timing of the transactions, their relationship to one another, and whether they reflect a single underlying procurement need.

Only the **Functional Lead** of the relevant group, the **CEO**, the **CCO**, or the **General Counsel** has the authority to determine whether multiple purchases with the same counterparty qualify as distinct transactions or one transaction. If you are unsure whether multiple transactions must be aggregated for the purpose of complying with this Policy, escalate to your Functional Lead.

If the transactions are closely related in purpose, scope, or timing—such as purchases of similar goods or services made within a short period—they may constitute a deliberate or de facto



division of a larger procurement and should be aggregated to determine whether the applicable threshold is met.

If the transactions serve distinct purposes, arise from separate and independently justified needs, and occur over a more extended timeframe, it may be appropriate to evaluate them individually. The goal is to prevent circumvention of procurement requirements while allowing legitimate, unrelated transactions to proceed without undue aggregation.

## Contracting requirements

Include in the Legal ticket the following information:

- A summary of the transaction that sets forth what you intend to purchase and why, even if it seems obvious.
- Any related deadline(s) and the source of the deadline.
- Any approvals, if applicable (e.g. confirming a [mission-critical](#) purchase or [exigent](#) purchase, or [sole source](#) purchase).
- Any related documentation, such as an order form.

The Relationship Manager must engage Ava's Legal team to execute a governing agreement. It is the responsibility of Ava's Legal team to execute the agreement in accordance with Ava's [Contracting Operations](#).

## Solicitation requirements

Solicitation requirements apply only to transactions that commit (or are conservatively estimated to commit) to an amount greater than \$10,000 during one fiscal year.

Illustrative scenarios:

- A two-year contract that commits Ava to \$6,000 in the first year and \$6,000 in the second year does not implicate this requirement.
- A two-year contract that commits Ava to \$6,000 in the first year and \$12,000 in the second year must meet solicitation requirements.
- A one-year contract for a time-and-materials transaction with an uncertain cost that reasonably could exceed \$10,000 in one year must meet solicitation requirements.

If the transaction will or reasonably may exceed the value below, the Relationship Manager must meet the associated requirements (unless a transaction satisfies one of the [solicitation exceptions](#) below).

Threshold	Requirement
>\$100,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct an RFQ if the purchase is exclusively for goods (including</li> </ul>



	<p>software products provided the transaction does not also include implementation or consulting services.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct an RFP if the purchase materially includes services.</li> </ul>
>\$50,000	Solicit three (3) written quotes.
>\$10,000	Solicit three (3) written or verbal quotes.

### Solicitation exception

A Relationship Manager does not need to meet solicitation requirements in situations where all of the following are true:

1. Ava has previously transacted with a vendor; and
2. Ava selected that vendor after meeting solicitation requirements; and
3. The date of the previous transaction was less than five (5) years ago; and
4. Ava intends to transact with the vendor for an amount that will not exceed \$35,000.

### Illustrative scenario:

- In 2023, Ava solicited quotes for movers for a transaction of \$12,000. Based on the quotes, Ava selected Moving, Inc. In 2024, Ava reaches out to Moving, Inc. for a second engagement and receives a quote for \$10,500. Ava may transact with Moving, Inc. without soliciting additional quotes.

### Mid-negotiation changes

Where Ava has begun negotiations with a vendor in the good faith belief that a transaction would fall below a threshold set forth in the table above, and in the course of negotiations the price rises above a threshold, Ava may continue with the transaction provided that the price increase is less than or equal to fifteen percent (15%) of the original expectation. If the price increase exceeds that amount, the Relationship Manager must satisfy the requirements applicable to the new threshold.

### Compliance requirements

The Relationship Manager must meet and confer with Ava's Legal team to alert the team to the proposed transaction and develop a compliance plan.



## Board Approval

A contract for a committed spend of greater than \$250,000 in one fiscal year requires the approval of the Board of Directors in the form of a signed resolution or such other form as the Board may authorize from time to time.

### Board Approval: Amendments, Recurrences, and Multiple Transactions

- Where: (1) a transaction changes, amends, or otherwise alters a previous transaction; and (2) that previous transaction did not meet the threshold for Board approval; and (3) the new transaction in combination with the previous transaction exceeds \$250,000; and (4) the dollar value of the new transaction alone is less than or equal to fifteen percent (15%) of the previous transaction, then no additional Board approval is required - but any addition in excess of fifteen percent (15%) requires Board approval.
- Where: (1) a contract spans multiple contract years; and (2) the aggregate committed spend is greater than \$250,000; but (3) the spend does not exceed \$250,000 in any one fiscal year, Board approval is not required.
- Where: (1) Ava conducts one or more transactions with a vendor for the same or similar products or services in the same fiscal year, the total of which does not exceed \$250,000; and (2) Ava intends to conduct a subsequent transaction with the same vendor in the same fiscal year for the same or similar products or services; and (3) the total of the foregoing transactions will or is likely to exceed \$250,000, then the subsequent transaction requires the approval of the Board.
- Where: (1) Ava has conducted a transaction with a vendor for an amount in excess of \$250,000 with the approval of the Board; and (2) Ava intends to conduct a subsequent transaction with the same vendor for the same or similar services (or amend an existing agreement to increase spend) in the same fiscal year, no additional Board approval is required.

## III. Formal RFP/RFQ Procedures

Except for categories of purchases exempt from RFP/RFQ procedures or as otherwise specifically directed in writing by the CEO, agreements for the purchase of [General products and services](#) for a total amount that exceeds \$100,000 per fiscal year shall be procured as follows:

- Formal RFP/RFQ Invitations. Invitations to participate in the Request for Proposal/Request for Qualifications (RFP/RFQ) process shall include a general description of the supplies or services sought by Ava, and shall specify the time, place and date for opening responses.
- Evaluation. Proposals received through a competitive solicitation shall be subject to evaluation by relevant Ava Staff and such additional personnel as the CEO may direct at their discretion. Such evaluation shall take into consideration factors that Ava Staff determines are material to the prospective transaction as well as (a) whether the applicant maintains a principal place of business within Ava's service territory; (b)



whether and to what extent the applicant employs union labor; (c) whether the applicant is a Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise registered with the California Department of General Services; and (d) whether the applicant maintains a principal place of business in a Disadvantaged Community (DAC) as identified by the California Environmental Protection Agency's (CalEPA) CalEnviroScreen Tool. To the extent a project is materially related to energy offtake, Ava Staff shall take into consideration the priorities of the [Ava Community Energy Workforce and Environmental Justice Project Selection Criteria](#) or such successor criteria as it may develop.

## IV. Contracting Operations

- Except as otherwise noted here, any transaction for an amount in excess of \$35,000 shall require approval as to form, as evidenced by a contract signature or equivalent indicator, by an authorized Ava attorney. The General Counsel shall always qualify as an authorized attorney, and may designate other attorneys at their discretion.
  - Where such a signature or indicator is not practicable (for instance, in an electronic form contract), Ava may execute the agreement without approval as to form upon written approval by Level 14 (SVP) personnel or above.
  - For transactions governed by standard form agreements where negotiation is generally unavailable in the market (e.g. credit card agreements, software license agreements, utilities agreements), Ava may execute the agreement without approval as to form upon approval by the CEO or CCO.
  - Any agreement executed on an Ava template with no changes to legal terms and no additional legal terms, as verified by Ava's legal team, does not require a signature for approval as to form.
- Ava shall report on all new contracts, regardless of scope or contract value, at each Board meeting, except non-disclosure agreements, personnel contracts, and Power Purchase Agreements.
- Ava's legal team shall not execute, extend, or renew any agreement for a term in excess of seven (7) years without the approval of the General Counsel.
- Ava's Legal team is responsible for maintaining compliance with applicable law and regulation, including but not limited to the Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act and the California Labor Code.
- Ava's Legal team shall provide continuing instruction to Relationship Managers regarding best practices for statements of work and other purchasing documents to develop clear, functional, and minimally ambiguous purchase requirements.
- Ava's Legal team shall maintain and refine procedures for tracking compliance with this Policy, such as capturing necessary approvals. Such processes shall be available to Staff, and the Legal team shall provide periodic training sessions to all of Staff.

## Signing Authority for Expenditures

Dollar threshold	Authorized signatory
Up to \$10,000	Job Level 11 (Director) or above.





Up to \$35,000	Job Level 12 (Senior Director) or above.
Up to \$100,000	Job Level 13 (Vice President) or above, or the General Counsel.
Up to \$150,000	Job Level 14 (Senior Vice President or Chief Officer) or above, or the General Counsel.
Up to \$250,000	CEO or their delegate.
Greater than \$250,000	CEO or their delegate or the General Counsel following the approval of the Board of Directors.

### Signing Authority for incremental additional purchases or amendments

Where: (1) a transaction changes, amends, or otherwise alters a previous transaction; and (2) the new transaction in combination with the previous transaction exceeds the signing authority of the original signatory; and (3) the dollar value of the new transaction is less than or equal to fifteen percent (15%) of the previous transaction, the original signatory may sign - but any addition in excess of fifteen percent (15%) requires the next level of signature authority.

Where an amendment makes a non-monetary change to an existing agreement (e.g. extending the term without changing the price), the amendment must be signed by a member of Staff with the same or greater signing authority as the original signatory.

### Signing Authority for non-purchase documents

The following signing authorities shall apply, after review and approval of the agreements by the General Counsel or their designee, except where in conflict with the Joint Powers Agreement or applicable law:

- Non-Disclosure Agreements – Job Level 11 (Director) and above.
- Banking and Treasury Administration – CEO; CFO; or Treasurer.
- All other documents - Job Level 13 (Vice President) and above.

### Signing Authority delegation

Any manager at Ava who has signing authority may delegate their signing authority to a direct report, a peer, or the General Counsel by providing notice via email to [legal@avaenergy.org](mailto:legal@avaenergy.org) or by completing a Legal ticket with this information and by providing an expiration date not later than thirty (30) days following the date of delegation.



## V. Implementation of Policy Updates

Ava Staff may update this policy upon the approval of the CEO and General Counsel, with the exception of changes to dollar-value thresholds. Any such updates shall be reported to the Board within forty-five (45) days.

Any changes to dollar value thresholds herein require the approval of the Board of Directors.

## VI. Definitions and Topics

1. Functional Lead - at Ava, a Functional Lead is a department head responsible for a dedicated function.
2. PO - See "Purchase Order," below.
3. Purchase Order - A purchase order (PO) is a formal document issued by a buyer to a vendor to authorize a purchase. It originates from the buyer's procurement system and specifies items, quantities, and prices. POs serve as a binding agreement and help track and control spending within approved budgets. A PO is always issued by the buyer to formally request goods or services from a seller. In response, the seller may issue an invoice, sales order, or order confirmation. As of the publication of this policy, Ava only leverages purchase orders in the rare event that they are required by a vendor. For the most part, a purchase order is not a contract, does not supersede a contract, and may not be used in place of a contract.
4. Relationship Manager - at Ava, the Relationship Manager is the member of Staff principally responsible for managing the business engagement related to a contract with a vendor.
5. Request for Proposal - A Request for Proposals (RFP) is a formal solicitation issued by a buyer to seek competitive proposals for goods or services. It outlines needs and evaluation criteria. Vendors respond with detailed proposals, enabling the buyer to assess qualifications and select the best-value offer.
6. Request for Quotation - A Request for Quotation (RFQ) is a procurement document issued by a buyer to solicit price quotes for specific goods or simple services. Originating from the buyer's purchasing department, RFQs are used when specifications are clear and selection is based primarily on price rather than qualitative factors.
7. RFP - see "Request for Proposal," above.
8. RFQ - see "Request for Quote," above.

# Proposed Updates to Ava's Administrative Procurement Policy



1. Purchases for commodities, goods, and services other than energy are governed by Ava's Administrative Procurement Policy (the "Policy").
2. The Policy was developed in 2018 for the then-nascent EBCE, but has not been materially updated as the agency has matured over the subsequent years.
3. The Policy has not grown as Ava has grown and as prices have risen, and over time this has resulted in material administrative burden and operational inefficiencies.
4. This proposed update to the Policy is drafted to boost efficiency by:
  - a. Providing clear instruction to remove ambiguity and facilitate compliant transactions;
  - b. Updating dollar-value thresholds to match industry standards to create more efficient delegation of authority among staff;
  - c. Reducing administrative burden; and
  - d. Eliminating unnecessary costs.

# Important Changes

- Over the last 18 months, Ava has built out its Legal team by onboarding contract management personnel and adopting a sophisticated Contract Management System.
- This has operationalized Ava's contracting process, ensuring proper review and execution of agreements and therefore materially reducing the degree of risk in Ava's contracting process.
- John Perkins, Ava's Senior Legal Director and Deputy General Counsel, is responsible for implementing, enforcing, and refining Ava's contracting process to maximize efficiency and protect the organization from legal-related risks.
- All contracts are reviewed, tracked, and managed by Ava's Legal team.
- All signatures are coordinated through the Legal team.
- Multi-layered approvals and authorized signatories are built in to Ava's contract management system.
- Ava's Legal team coordinates communication between Staff, vendors, and outside counsel.
- No Ava Staff member negotiates or signs any document in a vacuum.

# Universal Purchase Requirements

All purchases, without exception, are subject to legal and commercial diligence requirements:

- Undertaking reasonable and appropriate steps to procure satisfactory goods or services at an appropriate price.
- Confirming that the purchase represents the entire scope of the transaction to avoid segmenting the engagement to avoid procurement or regulatory requirements.
- Complying with Ava's Conflicts of Interest Code.
- Confirming budget.
- Opening a Legal ticket.

# Controls in Legal and Finance

- Ava's contracting system (Ironclad) assigns signatories based on contract size, and solicits senior management approval prior to execution.
- Ava's Legal team conducts Legal training with all new-hires on contracting processes, procurement policies, and systems access.
- Ironclad includes functionality that provides for automated alerts and reports to management and senior management when a new contract is under negotiation to ensure real time visibility of contracts.
- All details of contract creation (drafts, supporting documentation, approvals, etc.) are captured in Ironclad.
- Ava maintains control processes over cash receipts, disbursements and reconciliations of accounts in compliance with GASB reporting requirements and reviewed annually by a third party auditor.
  - Ava recently hired its first Controller to provide additional expertise and oversight of financial activities and reporting processes
  - Our Finance function employs an approval and release process that requires multiple levels of review and concurrence for initiating all fund transfers and disbursements
- Contracts are signed electronically and the signatory is managed by the Legal team.
- Finance checks contract spend against signed limits before processing payment

# Summary of Efficiency Benefits

- Recover time spent by Staff on Staff Reports, Resolutions, and supporting documentation such as slide decks; conservatively, documents for each Board item consume:
  - ~5-8 hours (across author, supervisor, legal, CEO, clerk)
- Recover expense from legal review, equally approximately \$2,000 per month.
- Recover time spent by staff determining how and whether they can comply with the Policy by providing clear instructions.
- Reduce administrative overhead for smaller transactions.
- Permits additional delegation of review and signing authority so senior management can remain focused on mission critical activities.
- Each board item is
  - drafted by a member of Staff,
  - reviewed by their supervisor,
  - submitted to Legal to review and provide to outside counsel;
  - submitted to the CEO to review, and
  - integrated into Board materials by the Clerk.
- Every contract from June 2024 - June 2025 was approved by the board on consent.



# 8 Material Updates

The draft Policy reflects 8 major updates, discussed in detail subsequently:

1. Express “how-to” steps
2. Tailored categories of purchases with appropriate process steps
3. Industry-standard Board approval threshold
4. Added flexibility for non-dollar revisions
5. Updated approval-as-to-form threshold
6. Updated approval thresholds
7. Updated legal compliance process
8. Increase to the permitted length of contract terms

# 1. Express “how-to” steps

3-Step Process to clarify how to comply with the Policy:

1. Collect required documentation
2. Identify the transaction type
3. Complete the requirements for the transaction type

- The required information is set out with specificity.
- The process for determining the transaction type is set forth in detail.
- The requirements to complete each type of transaction are listed.
- Ava’s Legal team is trained on each step and available to assist Staff.
- The requirements will be built into Ava’s contract management system.

## 2. Tailored purchase categories with customized steps

Transaction types, based on Ava's practices:

1. **Micro-purchase** (a shorter way of saying "a purchase of physical goods and/or non-construction services for up to \$10,000" reflecting federal statute)
2. **Mission-critical** products and services
3. **Exigent or emergency** purchases
4. **Routine administrative** purchases
5. **Sole source** transactions
6. **Cooperative** purchasing
7. **Regulated** transactions
8. **Professional Services**
9. **General Products and Services**
10. **Combination** of the above

Contracting requirements:

- Universal requirements that apply commercial due diligence to all purchases.
- Contract requirements, setting forth the governing agreement (if needed).
- Solicitation requirements, governing bidding.
- Compliance requirements, addressing obligations imposed by law or regulation.
- Board approval criteria.

### 3. Industry-standard Board approval threshold

Updates the dollar value of commercial agreements that require Board approval to \$250,000 - a value typical in the sector.

- Aligns with fellow CCAs:
  - SJCE
  - SCP
  - SVCE
  - PCE
  - MCE
- Reduces legal costs.
- Directs only high-priority agreements to the Board.
- Saves many hours of Staff time.

### 3. Industry-standard Board approval threshold (cont'd)

Entity	Annual Budget	Board Approval Threshold	%age of Budget
AC Transit	605,800,000.00	<b>500,000.00</b>	0.083%
Ava Community Energy (Ava)	872,854,000.00	100,000.00	0.011%
Central Coast Community Energy (3CE)	770,348,756.00	Not listed.	n/a
Clean Power Alliance (CPA)	1,585,293,000.00	125,000.00	0.008%
Marin Clean Energy (MCE)	787,862,000.00	<b>250,000.00</b>	0.032%
Orange County Power Authority (OCPA)	305,749,000.00	125,000.00	0.041%
Peninsula Clean Energy (PCE)	476,693,018.00	<b>250,000.00</b>	0.052%
Redwood Coast Energy Authority (RCEA)	71,539,945.00	50,000.00	0.070%
Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD)	2,100,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	0.047%
San José Clean Energy (SJCE)	524,440,758.00	<b>250,000.00</b>	0.048%
Silicon Valley Clean Energy (SVCE)	526,513,000.00	<b>250,000.00</b>	0.047%
Sonoma Clean Power (SCP)	275,554,000.00	<b>250,000.00</b>	0.091%

### 3. Industry-standard Board approval threshold (cont'd)

Contract Value Range, June 2024 - June 2025	Number of Contracts
\$10,000 and less	64
Greater than \$10,000 – \$35,000	40
Greater than \$35,000 – \$100,000	60
Greater than \$100,000 – \$250,000	25

### 3. Industry-standard Board approval threshold (cont'd)

Energy & Environmental Economics	\$100,000.00
Alan Comnes d/b/a New Energy Works	\$100,000.00
PC Professional	\$100,000.00
Port City	\$100,000.00
NewGen Strategies & Solutions CSA	\$110,000.00
Omni Gov Relations	\$115,000.00
Omni Gov Relations (First Amendment)	\$120,000.00
LHi Group Amendment Staffing Svc (Perm Placement)	\$130,000.00
AIQUEOUS Amendment 5 to Consulting and Online Services Agreement	\$140,000.00
ICF Resources CSA	\$146,000.00
Kitchen Stadium, Inc. CSA	\$150,000.00
Oakland Roots Brand Partnership	\$175,000.00
Thrive Mind Collaborative July 2024 Amendment	\$182,000.00
Thrive Mind Collaborative June 2025 Amendment	\$190,000.00
Celery Design Collaborative CSA	\$200,000.00
Chen Design Associates CSA	\$220,000.00
Frontier Energy CSA	\$200,000.00
Dakota Press Third Amendment	\$200,000.00

- Contracts between \$100,000 and \$250,000 from June 2024 - June 2025.
- NOTE: this slide excludes law firm engagements because that spend is approved concurrently with the annual budget.

## 4. Added flexibility for non-dollar revisions

Permits non-monetary changes to the Policy upon the approval of both the CEO and General Counsel, and requires the Board to be notified of such changes.

- Allows Ava to make non-monetary refinements without undergoing the Board approval process.
- Keeps the Board notified of changes.



## 5. Updated approval-as-to-form threshold

- Currently, an attorney representing Ava must sign contracts over \$5,000 to indicate approval as to form.
- The proposed draft removes this administrative requirement for contracts of \$35,000 or less.
- \$35,000 is the threshold at which Ava applies its more rigorous contract template intended for larger purchases.
- **Removes the administrative step** of the General Counsel or Legal Director signing smaller contracts, but **retains legal review** and approval because Ava's Legal team has established a consistent contracting process.

## 6. Updated approval thresholds

Current Threshold	Proposed Threshold	Context
Level 11 (Director): \$5,000	Level 11 (Director): \$10,000	Under the Federal Acquisition Regulations, “Micro-purchase threshold means \$10,000” (though this can be subject to legislative carve-outs) and this threshold is leveraged by California government agencies. The Director threshold has been matched to such micro-purchases.
Level 12 (Senior Director) or Level 13 (Vice President): \$15,000	Level 12 (Senior Director): \$35,000	Purchases of up to \$35,000 are usually smaller, less complex transactions executed using Ava’s Purchase Rider.
Level 14 (Senior Vice President or Chief Officer): \$25,000	Level 13 (Vice President): \$100,000	Distinguishes the VP spend threshold from the Senior Director threshold; reflects inflation; maps to the threshold for RFPs.
General Counsel: \$50,000	Level 14 (Senior Vice President or Chief Officer) or General Counsel: \$150,000	This matches the threshold set by fellow CCAs SCP and MCE.
CEO: \$100,000	CEO: \$250,000	Permits the CEO to approve all agreements below the threshold for Board approval.

## 6. Updated approval thresholds (cont'd)

Current Threshold	Proposed Threshold	Personnel (Proposed Threshold)
Level 11 (Director): \$5,000	Level 11 (Director): \$10,000	1.Lori Bilella: Director, Clean Energy Services 2.Anish Patel: Director, Infrastructure Delivery 3.Chris Eshleman: Director, Power Resources 4.Scott H Harding: Director, Power Resources 5.Niels Zellers: Director, Clean Energy Structured Finance
Level 12 (Senior Director) or Level 13 (Vice President): \$15,000	Level 12 (Senior Director): \$35,000	1.John Perkins: Sr. Legal Director; DGC 2.Diego Ponce de Leon Barido: Sr Director, Technology & Analytics 3.Todd Edmister: Sr Director, Public Policy; DGC 4.Kelly Birdwell Brezovec: Sr Director, Account Services 5.Theresa McDermit: Head of Marketing
Level 14 (Senior Vice President or Chief Officer): \$25,000	Level 13 (Vice President): \$100,000	1.JP Ross: VP, Local Development, Electrification & Innovation 2.Trevor Cherr: VP, Human Resources and Office Operations
General Counsel: \$50,000	Level 14 (Senior Vice President or Chief Officer) or General Counsel: \$150,000	1.Annie Henderson: Chief Customer Officer 2.Rusty Mills: SVP & CFO 3.Marie Fontenot: SVP, Power Resources

## 6. Updated approval thresholds (cont'd)

Example: Micro-purchase	Example: Small purchase	Example: Large purchase
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A level-11 (Director) employee needs to purchase a large number of locally-produced cupcakes for a publicly-attended launch celebration of an Ava initiative.</li> <li>• The cost is <b>\$1,500</b>.</li> <li>• The vendor provides an <b>order form with no legal terms</b>.</li> <li>• The Director opens a Legal ticket and submits the order form.</li> <li>• The Legal team reviews the form, confirming that there are no legal terms.</li> <li>• Because it is a purchase for physical goods under \$10,000 no contract is needed, and Legal routes the Order Form for signature by the Director via Ironclad.</li> <li>• The Controller is automatically alerted.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Marketing team needs to engage a videographer for a media campaign promoting a new Ava initiative.</li> <li>• Their budget is <b>\$30,000</b> so they solicit 3 quotes from potential vendors.</li> <li>• Upon making their selection, they open a ticket with Legal.</li> <li>• The Legal team generates a <b>Purchase Rider</b> to govern the transaction and coordinates the legal negotiation.</li> <li>• When the legal negotiation concludes, the Head of Marketing receives an approval request.</li> <li>• Following the approval, the contract goes to the vendor to sign, then the Head of Marketing for final signature.</li> <li>• The Controller is automatically alerted.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The EAS team seeks to purchase new information security services.</li> <li>• The anticipated price is over \$100,000 so they issue an RFP.</li> <li>• The most promising responder has a price of <b>\$160,000</b>.</li> <li>• EAS opens a ticket with legal to begin the contract negotiation, and the CEO automatically receives an alert because his signature will be required.</li> <li>• The Legal team generates a <b>Consulting Services Agreement</b> and coordinates the legal negotiation.</li> <li>• When the legal negotiation concludes, the Senior Director of EAS receives an approval request.</li> <li>• Following that approval, the contract goes to the vendor to sign, then the General Counsel for approval as to form, then to the CEO for final signature.</li> <li>• The Controller is automatically alerted.</li> </ul>

NOTE: all non-energy contracts are reported to the Board each month in the Board packet.

## 7. Updated legal compliance process

- The current Policy restates select provisions of California law, and has fallen out of sync with legislative updates.
- The proposed Policy maintains compliance with applicable laws but does not restate the law itself.
- All purchases over \$10,000 must undergo legal review.
- Ava's templates have legal compliance built in by default.

## 8. Increase to the permitted length of contract terms

Subject to senior management approval, allows for contracts of a duration of up to 7 years in alignment with generally accepted commercial contracting practice.

Example contracts suitable for engagements up to 7 years:

- Billing and customer support services: Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD)
- Outside counsel: Various procurement, bond/finance, regulatory, etc
- Auditor: Pimenti & Brinker
- Energy Scheduling Coordinator: NCPA
- Accountant: Maher Accountancy

- Take advantage of **more favorable pricing**.
- Enter into **master agreements** that can govern for long spans but leverage Order Forms to execute transactions of any duration (up to 7 years).
- Prevent legal terms from falling out of sync with evolving **best practices**.
- **Reduce overhead** with counterparties with whom Ava desires to enter into long-term transactions.